## Direct sums

## March 3, 2016

Let  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  be a family of vector subspaces of a vector space V over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ .

Given any family of subspaces  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  we defined in Linear Algebra 1 its sum to be the smallest vector subspace of V containing all of the  $U_i$ 's. Equivalently,

 $U_1 + \ldots + U_k = \{u_1 + \ldots + u_k \mid u_i \in U_i\}$ 

Recall that in the particular case of two subspaces of a finite dimensional space we have the formula:

 $\dim(U+V) = \dim(U) + \dim(V) - \dim(U \cap V)$ 

In particular by induction we have  $\dim(U_1 + \ldots + U_k) \leq \dim(U_1) + \ldots + \dim(U_k)$ .

**Definition 1:** We say that a family  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  of vector subspaces of V is linearly independent if for any choice of vectors  $u_1 \in U_1, \ldots, u_k \in U_k$ , we have

$$u_1 + \ldots + u_k = 0 \iff u_1 = 0, \ldots, u_k = 0$$

In that case, we call  $U_1 + \ldots + U_k$  the **direct sum** of the spaces  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$ , and we denote it by  $U_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_k$ .

**Remark 2:** This is equivalent to saying that any vector  $u \in U_1 + \ldots + U_k$  has a unique representation as  $u_1 + \ldots + u_k$  with  $u_i \in U_i$  for each i: suppose  $u = u_1 + \ldots + u_k = u'_1 + \ldots + u'_k$ , we deduce  $(u_1 - u'_1) + \ldots + (u_k - u'_k) = 0$ . Since  $u_i - u'_i \in U_i$ , we get that for each  $i \ u_i = u'_i$  - the representation of u is unique. Conversely, if each vector has a unique representation, in particular so does the zero vector, hence the only way to write it as a sum  $0 = u_1 + \ldots + u_r$  is to write  $0 = 0 + \ldots + 0$ .

In particular for any  $i \neq j$  we have  $U_i \cap U_j = \{0\}$ , but it is much stronger than this.

- **Example 3:** In  $\mathbb{R}^3$ : two distinct lines in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  are linearly independent. A line and a plane not containing it are linearly independent. Two planes are never linearly independent. Three distinct lines are linearly independent iff they are not contained in a common plane.
  - A family of nonzero vectors  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  is linearly independent  $\iff$  the corresponding family of subspaces  $\text{Span}(v_1), \ldots, \text{Span}(v_k)$  is linearly independent.

**Proposition 4:** Let  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  be a family of finite dimensional vector subspaces of a vector space V. The following are equivalent:

- 1.  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  are linearly independent;
- 2. for any  $i, U_i \cap (\sum_{j \neq i} U_j) = \{0\}$
- 3. dim  $U = \dim(U_1) + \ldots + \dim(U_k)$ .

*Proof.*  $(1 \implies 2)$  Let  $v \in U_i \cap \sum_{j \neq i} U_i$ . Then  $v \in U_i$ , so  $v = 0 + \ldots + 0 + v + 0 + \ldots + 0$  but we also have  $v = u_1 + \ldots + u_{i-1} + u_{i+1} + \ldots + u_k$  for  $u_j \in U_j$  for all  $j \neq i$ . By independence of the spaces, all the vectors are zero, hence so is V.

 $(2 \implies 3)$  By induction on k. For k = 1, this is clear. Induction: denote  $V_k = U_1 + \ldots + U_k$ , we have  $\dim(V_k + U_{k+1}) = \dim(V_k) + \dim(U_{k+1}) - \dim(V_k \cap U_{k+1})$ . By induction hypothesis,  $\dim(V_k) = \dim(U_1) + \ldots + \dim(U_k)$ , and by (2) we have  $V_k \cap U_{k+1} = \{0\}$ , so we get the result.

 $(3 \implies 1)$  Note that applying the formula for the dimension of the sum of two subspaces we get

$$\dim(U_1 + \ldots + U_k) = \dim(U_1) + \ldots + \dim(U_k) - \sum_i \dim(U_i \cap \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} U_j)$$

Thus if 3 holds, we have for each *i* that  $U_i \cap \sum_{i \neq j} U_j = \{0\}$ . Suppose now  $u_1 + \ldots + u_k = 0$ , assume by contradiction that not all the  $u_i$ 's are zero, and let *i* be maximal so that  $u_i \neq 0$ . We have  $u_i = -(u_{i-1} + \ldots + u_1)$  thus  $u_i \in U_i \cap \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} U_j$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $u_i = 0$  for all *i*.  $\Box$ 

(The equivalence between (1) and (2) holds also for infinite dimensional vector spaces).

**Proposition 5:** Let  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  be an independent family of finite dimensional vector subspaces. For each *i*, let  $\mathcal{A}_i = \{v_1^i, \ldots, v_{l_i}^i\}$  be a linearly independent set of vectors in  $U_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{A}_k$  is linearly independent.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(\lambda_1^1 v_1^1 + \ldots + \lambda_{l_1}^1 v_{l_1}^1) + \ldots + (\lambda_1^k v_1^k + \ldots + \lambda_{l_k}^k v_{l_k}^k) = 0$ . Since  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  is an independent family of vector spaces, for each i we must have  $\lambda_1^i v_1^i + \ldots + \lambda_{l_i}^i v_{l_i}^i = 0$ . By linear independence of  $v_1^i, \ldots, v_{l_i}^i$  we get  $\lambda_1^i = \ldots = \lambda_{l_i}^i = 0$ , which proves the result.

**Corollary 6:** Let  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  be an independent family of finite dimensional vector subspaces. If  $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_k$  are bases for  $U_1, \ldots, U_k$  respectively, then  $\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{B}_k$  is a basis for  $U_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_k$ 

*Proof.* By the previous proposition,  $\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{B}_k$  is a linearly independent family of vectors. But its cardinality is  $\sum_{i=1}^k \dim(U_i)$ , which by Proposition 4 is exactly  $\dim(U_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus U_k)$ .